

\$~69

* IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+ W.P.(C) 6482/2022 & CM APPL.19664/2022

BHARAT HEAVY ELECTRICALS LTD.

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Piyush Kaushik, Advocate.

versus

PRINCIPAL COMMISSIONER OF INCOME TAX -1, DELHI & ANR. Respondents

Through: Mr. Sanjay Kumar, Advocate with

Ms. Easha Kadian, Advocate.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANMOHAN HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DINESH KUMAR SHARMA

> ORDER 25.04.2022

%

Present writ petition has been filed challenging the order dated 31st March, 2022 passed under Section 148A(d) and notice dated 31st March, 2022 under Section 148 of the Income Tax Act, 1961 (for short the 'Act') for the Assessment Year 2018-19.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that Petitioner is a reputed PSU which has been awarded 'Maharatna' status in the past. He further states that the petitioner is under the direction supervision of Union Government and has strict internal controls. He states that the Petitioner had filed returned income of Rs.1,707/- crores for the Assessment Year 2018-19.)

He also states that the Petitioner is subject to dual audit under the Companies Act as well as by CAG and has been given a clean chit for the Assessment Year 2018-19. He also emphasises that complete scrutiny assessment had been done in the case of petitioner for the relevant

Assessment Year.

Issue notice.

Mr. Sanjay Kumar, learned counsel accepts notice on behalf of the

Respondents. He prays for and is permitted to file a counter affidavit within

four weeks. Rejoinder affidavit, if any, be filed before the next date of

hearing.

List on 3rd November, 2022.

Keeping in view the aforesaid, this Court is of the view that it is

highly unlikely that the petitioner would be engaged in input tax credit fraud

as alleged by the Respondents. Accordingly, till further orders, no action

shall be taken in pursuance to the impugned order and notice dated 31st

March, 2022.

MANMOHAN, J

DINESH KUMAR SHARMA, J

APRIL 25, 2022 AS